```
G. B. Petrazhitskii and A. M. Pylaev
```

In performing the Laplace transformation, the problem of representation by changing from a series expansion in one system of eigenfunctions to a series expansion in another system is reduced to an infinite system of linear equations. The Kramer rule is used for constructing the original function. This method is particularly suitable for the analysis of a regular heating mode.

In practical thermotechnical design one encounters problems involving systems with a sudden inhomogeneity in the material in the direction normal to the flow of heat [1-3]. The spatial inhomogeneity in these problems is due mainly not to the nature of the boundary conditions but to the structure of the region for which the solution is sought. In these cases the heat propagates deeper into a structure, essentially along components whose thermal conductance is high. In a rigorous solution of such problems, however, it is necessary to take into account also the heat storing capacity of contiguous components whose thermal conductance is low.

We will analyze this problem on a simple mathematical model of such systems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial \tau}=a_{i} L_{i} t_{i}+u_{i} ; \quad\left[z_{i} \in\left(0, \delta_{i}\right), \quad r \in\left(r_{0},\left(r_{0}+1\right)\right)\right],  \tag{1}\\
L_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i}^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{r \partial r}\right), \quad u_{i}=u_{i}\left(\tau, r, z_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \\
\left.\frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial r}\right|_{r=r_{\Delta}}=(-1)^{\Delta} h_{i \Delta}\left[\left.t_{i}\right|_{r=r_{\Delta}}-t_{\Delta i}\right], \quad r_{\Delta}=\left(r_{0}+\Delta\right), \quad \Delta=0,1,  \tag{2}\\
\left.\frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial z_{i}}\right|_{z_{i}=\delta_{i}}=g_{i}\left[t_{\delta i}-\left.t_{i}\right|_{\left.z_{i}=\delta_{i}\right]},\right.  \tag{3}\\
\left.t_{1}\right|_{z_{1}=0}=t_{2}{\mid z_{2}=0}-\left.f \frac{\partial t_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right|_{z_{z}=0},  \tag{4}\\
-\left(\left.\frac{\partial t_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}\right|_{z_{1}=0}+\left.k \frac{\partial t_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right|_{z_{2}=0}\right)=u=u(r, \tau),  \tag{5}\\
\left.t_{i}\right|_{\tau=0}=F_{i}=F_{i}\left(r, z_{i}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that $t_{\Delta i}$ and $t_{\delta i}$ in (2) and (3) can be functions of $z_{i}$, $\tau$ and of $r, \tau$, respectively, while (4) and (5) represent the conditions of nonideal contact (when $f \neq 0$ ) with heat emission at the surfaces.

The special case of system (1)-(6) with an ideal contact and equal Biot numbers has been considered in [4] (a two-layer rectangular beam with boundary conditions of the first kind) and by Kirshbaum in [3] (contact between two seminfinite cylinders).

An essential feature of our problem is that $h_{1 \Delta}$ and $h_{2 \Delta}$ can be different, which creates major difficulties in treating conditions (4) and (5) when the separation-of-variables method is used.

We will assume that functions $u, u_{i}$, and $F_{i}$ satisfy the Dirichlet conditions and are expressible in terms of series of the kind
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$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\Sigma_{n} y_{i n} R_{i n}, \quad \Sigma_{n} a_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

in eigenfunctions of the following eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} R_{i n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\partial R_{i n}}{r \partial r}+\alpha_{i n}^{2} R_{i n}=0,  \tag{8}\\
\left.\frac{\partial R_{i n}}{\partial r}\right|_{r=r_{\Delta}}-\left.(-1)^{\Delta} h_{i \Delta} R_{i n}\right|_{r=r_{\Delta}}=0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let also $v_{i}$ be an arbitrary function which satisfies (2)-(3) and is expressible as series of the (7) kind, together with its Laplacian $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

In this case, performing the Laplace transformation with respect to $T$ (with the parameter $p$ defined as in [5]) and subsequently separating the variables in order to find the transforms $\bar{t}_{\mathrm{t}}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{t_{i}}=\bar{v}_{i}+\vartheta_{i} ; \quad \vartheta_{i}=\Sigma_{n} R_{i n}\left(C_{i n} Z_{i n}+\Phi_{i n}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i n}=\left(\operatorname{ch} Y_{i n}+g_{i} q_{i n}^{-1} \operatorname{sh} Y_{i n}\right), \quad q_{i i i}=\sqrt{p a_{i}^{-1}+\alpha_{i n}^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
& \Phi_{i n}=\varphi_{i n}-\frac{g_{i} \varphi_{i n \delta}+-\varphi_{i n \delta}^{\prime}}{\left(g_{i}+q_{i n}\right) \exp Y_{i n}}, \quad Y_{i n}=q_{i n}\left(\delta_{i}-z_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\varphi_{\text {in }}$ is the particular solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \varphi_{i n}}{d z_{i}^{2}}-q_{i n}^{2} \varphi_{i n}=U_{i n} ; \quad U_{i}=\frac{p \bar{v}_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}-F_{i}}{a_{i}}-L_{i} \bar{v}_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (12) and further on we use the following kind of notation:

$$
\left.\theta_{i n}\right|_{z_{i}=b_{i}}=\theta_{i n b},\left.\quad \frac{d \theta_{i n}}{d z_{i}}\right|_{z_{i}=b_{i}}=\theta_{i n b}^{\prime} ;\left.\quad \frac{d \varphi_{i n}}{d z_{i}}\right|_{z_{i}=\delta_{i}}=\varphi_{i n \delta}^{\prime} .
$$

For determining the constants $\mathrm{C}_{\text {in }}$ in (10), one must consider the "contiguity" conditions at $z_{\mathrm{i}}=0$, which follow from (4) and (5):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vartheta_{1}-\vartheta_{2}+f \frac{\partial \vartheta_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}=\bar{v}_{2}-\bar{v}_{1}-f \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}=V=\Sigma_{n} V_{n} R_{z n},  \tag{14}\\
-\left(\frac{\partial \vartheta_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}+k \frac{\partial \vartheta_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}\right)=\bar{u}+\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}+k \frac{\partial \bar{v}_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}=U=\Sigma_{n} U_{n} R_{1 n} . \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is convenient here to utilize the possibility of series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i n}=\Sigma_{m} b_{i n m} R_{j m} \quad \text { for } \quad i \neq j, \quad r_{0}<r<\left(r_{0}+1\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically, for $r_{0}=0$ the formula in [6] yields

$$
R_{i n}=J_{i n r} \text { and } b_{i n m}=\frac{2\left(h_{21}-h_{11}\right) \alpha_{j m}^{2} J_{i n 1}}{\left(\alpha_{j m}^{2}-\alpha_{i n}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha_{j m}^{2}+h_{j 1}^{2}\right) J_{j m 1}}
$$

where $J_{i n r}=J_{0}\left(\alpha_{\text {in }} r\right)$ is the zeroth-order Bessel function of the first kind and $\alpha_{\text {in }}$ are the roots of the equation

$$
\left.\frac{d J_{i n r}}{d r}\right|_{r=1}+h_{i 1} J_{i n 1}=0
$$

When $\mathrm{r}_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, however, one obtains

$$
R_{i n}=\cos \alpha_{i n} \rho+h_{i 0} \alpha_{i n}^{-1} \sin \alpha_{i \pi i} \rho, \quad 0<\rho=\left(r-r_{0}\right)<1
$$

where $\alpha_{\text {in }}$ are the roots (all real) of the equations

$$
\alpha_{i n} \operatorname{tg} \alpha_{i n}=P_{i n}^{-1}, \quad P_{i n}=\left(1-h_{i 0} h_{i 1} \alpha_{i n}^{-2}\right)\left(h_{i 1}+h_{i 0}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Moreover, for $u=$ in and $v=j m$ we have

$$
b_{u m}=2 \alpha_{v}\left(F_{v u}-F_{u v}\right) S_{u}\left[S_{v} G_{v} \alpha_{u}\left(\alpha_{u}^{-2}-\alpha_{v}^{-2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{v}=\left[2 h_{j 0}+P_{v}\left(\alpha_{v}^{2}-h_{j 0}^{2}\right)+\left(\alpha_{v}^{2}+h_{j 0}^{2}\right)\left(1+\alpha_{v}^{2} P_{v}^{2}\right)\right], \\
F_{v u}=\alpha_{u}^{-2} h_{i 0}+P_{u}\left(1+h_{i 0} h_{j 0} \alpha_{v}^{-2}+P_{v} h_{j 0}\right), S_{u}=\sin \alpha_{u} .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (15) and (16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1 n}=Q_{1 n}^{-1}\left[\psi_{n}-k \Sigma_{m} C_{2 m} Q_{2 m} b_{2 m n}\right], \quad Q_{i n}=q_{i n} \operatorname{sh} Y_{i n 0}+g_{i} \operatorname{ch} Y_{i n 0}, \quad \psi_{n}=U_{n}+\Phi_{1 n 0}^{\prime}+k \Sigma_{m} \Phi_{2 m 0}^{\prime} b_{2 m n} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by virtue of (17) and (16), it is possible to derive from (14) an infinite system of equations which are linear with respect to $B_{n}=C_{2 n} Q_{2 n} R_{2 n 1}$ :

$$
B_{n}+\Sigma_{l}^{(n)} B_{l} \Sigma_{m} T_{m, l n}=\Psi_{n}=A_{n}+P_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Sigma_{l}^{(n)} a_{l}=\Sigma_{l} a_{l}-a_{n}, \\
T_{m, l n}=\frac{R_{2 n 1} Z_{1 m 0} k}{R_{2 l 1} Q_{1 m} H_{n}} b_{2 l m} b_{1 m l} ; H_{n}=\frac{Z_{2 n 0}}{Q_{2 n}}+f+\Sigma_{m} T_{m, n n} H_{n},  \tag{18}\\
\Psi_{n}=H_{n}^{-1} R_{2 n 1}\left[V_{n}-\Phi_{2 n 0}+f \Phi_{2 n 0}^{\prime}+\Sigma_{m} b_{1 m n}\left(\Phi_{1 m 0}+\psi_{m} Z_{1 m 0} Q_{1 m}^{-1}\right)\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

and the components of $\Psi_{n}$ are one $\left(P_{n}\right)$ a function of and one ( $A_{n}$ ) independent of $\bar{v}_{i}, \bar{u}_{i}$, and $\bar{u}$; here $R_{2 n i}$ $=R_{2 n} \mid r=r_{1}$.

With $p$ assumed real, as will be proved later on, the method in [8] will yield the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{n} \Sigma_{l}^{(n)} \sigma_{l n}^{2}<\infty, \quad \Sigma_{n} \Sigma_{l}^{(n)} \sigma_{l n}<\infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{l n} \neq \Sigma_{m} T_{m, l n},
$$

and for the first of which, pertaining to an infinite system, all theorems applicable to a finite number of unknowns [9] will retain their validity. For instance, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be determined according to the Kramer rules and

$$
C_{2 n}=\left(R_{2 n 1} D Q_{2 n}\right)^{-1} \Sigma_{l}(-1)^{n+l} D_{l n} \Psi_{l}
$$

where $D$ is an infinite determinant whose $n$-th row is filled with the coefficients of $B_{l}$ in the increasing order of $l$ from the $n$-th equation of system (18), and where $D_{l n}$ is obtained from $D$ by removing the n-th column and the $l$-th row. However, both $D$ and $D_{l n}$ are normal determinants, by virtue of the obvious convergence of the infinite product of their diagonal elements (1-elements) and also by virtue of the second estimate in (19). As is well known, the same statements apply to normal as to finite determinants [9].

In order to find the $t_{i}$ functions from their transforms, it remains now to apply the inversion theorem and the Cauchy residue theorem to the contour of integration which excludes the right-hand half-plane [5]. As it turns out,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=F_{i}+\Sigma_{l} \lim _{p \rightarrow \mu_{l}}\left\{\left(\frac{d D}{d p}\right)^{-1} \Sigma_{a} \Omega_{n} \Sigma_{m}(-1)^{n+m} D_{i m} \omega_{i m}\right\}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{n}=\frac{P_{n}}{p}+A_{n}\left(e^{p \tau}-1\right)+e^{p \tau} \int_{0}^{q} P_{n} e^{-p \tau} d \tau, \\
\omega_{2 m}=R_{2 m} Z_{2 m} Q_{2 m}, \quad \omega_{1 m}=k \Sigma_{s 1} b_{2 m s} R_{1 s} Z_{1 s} Q_{1 s}^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In (20) $\mu_{l}$ are the roots of the transcendental equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D(\mu) \leftrightharpoons D\right|_{p=\mu} \leftrightharpoons 0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

All roots $\mu_{l}$ in (20) are assumed to be simple ones, following an analys is of the Eq. (21) structure and on the basis of analogy with conventional solutions in heat conduction theory. An analysis of very specific cases with multiple roots of Eq. (21) does not present any fundamental difficulties.

Since all coefficients in Eq. (21) are real, hence all its complex roots must form conjugate pairs. If one extends the method shown in [5], however, then it can be proved that Eq. (21) has no complex roots.

Indeed, let $\Gamma$ be a root of Eq. (21), i.e., $D(\Gamma)=0$.
Then for $\mathrm{p}=\Gamma$ there exists a nonzero solution to system (17)-(18) such as $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} l}$, for example, and

$$
\theta_{i}=\Sigma_{n} Z_{i n} R_{i n} C_{i n}
$$

are obviously the solutions to the homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} L_{i} \Theta_{i}=\Gamma \Theta_{i} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with homogeneous boundary conditions according to (2)-(5).
Let now $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$ be two different roots of Eq. (21) and let functions $\theta_{i}$ correspond to the functions $\Theta_{i}$ when $\Gamma$ is replaced by $\gamma$. Then Eqs. (22) and the analogous equations for $\theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{T}-\gamma) \sum_{i=1,2} k^{(i-1)} a_{i}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\delta_{i}} d z_{i} \int_{r_{0}}^{\left(r_{0}+1\right)} r \Theta_{i} \theta_{i} d r=B=\sum_{i=1,2} k^{(i-1)} \int_{0}^{\delta_{i}} d z_{i} \int_{r_{0}}^{\left(r_{0}+1\right)} r d r\left[\theta_{i} L_{i} \Theta_{i}-\Theta_{i} L_{i} \theta_{i}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the right-hand side of (23) and using the boumdary conditions, we obtain

$$
B=\sum_{i=1,2} k^{(i-1)} \int_{r_{0}}^{\left(r_{0}+1\right)} r d r\left[\Theta_{i} \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial z_{i}}-\theta_{i} \frac{\partial \Theta_{i}}{\partial z_{i}}\right]_{z_{i}=0}=0
$$

It follows from here that $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$ cannot be complex-conjugate quantities. If they were, then $\theta_{i}$ and $\Theta_{\mathrm{i}}$ would have been conjugate too and the left-hand side of (23) would be positive in violation of the last equality ( $B=0$ ).

Therefore, Eq. (21) can have only positive roots and this justifies, specifically, the assumption on which estimates (19) have been based. The roots can be found conveniently by the Newton method.

As is evident from (18), (21) and (20) are particularly useful for the analysis of a regular heating mode [7] in a system where

$$
\left|T_{1, l n}\right| \gg\left|\Sigma_{m}^{(1)} T_{m, l n_{n}}\right|, \quad l, n=1,2, \ldots, \infty
$$

which is possible when

$$
g_{1} \ll 1, \quad\left|\alpha_{11}^{2}+\mu_{1}\right| \ll 1, \quad \delta_{1}\left|\alpha_{11}^{2}+\mu_{1}\right| \ll 1 .
$$

The last inequalities are valid if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{11} \ll 1, \quad g_{1} \ll 1, \quad \delta_{i} \ll 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., at a contact between two different disks at moderate rates of heat transfer to the ambient medium.

When (24) is satisfied, then (21) and (18) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \approx 1+\frac{Z_{110}}{Q_{11}} k \sum_{n} b_{2 n 1} b_{11 n}\left(\frac{Z_{2 n 0}}{Q_{2 n}}+f\right)^{-1}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the roots $\mu_{l}$ can be determined.
In (20), moreover,

$$
D_{n n}=-\left(\frac{Z_{2 n 0}}{Q_{2 n}}+f\right)^{-1} ; \quad D_{n l}=\frac{(-1)^{n+l} Q_{11} D_{n n} D_{l l}}{Z_{110} k b_{11 n} b_{2 l 1}}, n \neq l
$$

For faster calculations, the problem can be programmed for a digital computer. Evidenty, programming the analytical formulas derived here is preferable to direct numerical integration of the system
(1)-(6), especially in the case of large cylinders and in the case of a long process.


## NOTATION

is the temperature of the i-th cylinder;
are the coefficients of heat transfer to the medium;
is the thermal contact resistance between cylinders;
is the thermal conductivity;
is the thermal diffusivity;
are the width and the inside radius of a ring;
is the height of a cyl inder;
are the space coordinates;
is the time;
is the maximum difference between ambient temperature and initial temperature of cylinders;
$t_{i}=\left(T_{i}-T_{0}\right) /\left(T_{M}-T_{0}\right)$,
$z_{i}=\left|\bar{z}_{i}\right| / R$,
$\mathbf{r}=\overline{\mathbf{r}} / \mathrm{R}$,
$\delta_{i}=d_{i} / R$,
$\tau=\bar{a}_{1} \bar{\tau} / R^{2}$,
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} \Delta}=\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$,
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R} / \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{i}}$,
$\mathrm{k}=\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{2} / \overline{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$,
$a_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\overline{a_{2}} / \overline{a_{1}}\right)^{\mathrm{i}-1}$,
$\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{k}_{1} / \gamma \mathrm{R}$
are the dimensionless variables and characteristics;
is the ambient temperature at $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\delta_{\mathrm{i}}$ and at $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{r}_{\Delta}$, respectively.
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